arXiv:quant-ph/0306117v1 17 Jun 2003

Numerical simulation of nonunitary gravity-induced localization

Sergio De Filippo*

defilippo@sa.infn.it

Filippo Maimone*

maimone@sa.infn.it

Anton Luca Robustelli

alrobustelli@yahoo.com

Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello", Università di Salerno

Via Allende I-84081 Baronissi (SA) ITALY

(February 1, 2008)

The localization of a quantum state is numerically exhibited in a nonunitary Newtonian model for gravity. It is shown that an unlocalized state of a ball of mass just above the expected threshold of 10^{11} proton masses evolves into a mixed state with vanishing coherences above some localization lengths.

03.65.-w, 03.65.Bz

I. INTRODUCTION.

The apparently inescapable conclusion of the so called "information loss paradox" was the assumption that a full theory of quantum gravity would be non-unitary, with pure states evolving in principle into mixed ones [1]. At the basis of such assumption was the observation that the area of the event horizon of black holes increases monotonically in time while information is irretrievably lost when matter falls into black holes. This in turn led Bekenstein to propose that a generalized second law holds, where the entropy of a black hole is proportional to the area of its event horizon [2]. More generally the laws of black hole dynamics exhibit a remarkable resemblance with the laws of thermodynamics, if energy

---

*Unità I.N.F.M., I.N.F.N. Salerno

is replaced by the black hole mass and temperature is assumed to be proportional to the surface gravity. This idea was dramatically confirmed by Hawking's discovery that black hole evaporation has a thermal character with a temperature proportional to the surface gravity [3].

It was argued that a non-unitary evolution should be effective even in ordinary laboratory physics, since a continuous creation and evaporation of submicroscopic black holes is expected within such a theory, even though it seemed to give rise to unacceptably large violations of causality or energy-momentum conservation [4,5]. A more recent analysis pointed out that, in general, violations could be undetectable at the scales of laboratory physics, and in particular that there need not be any (easily detectable) conflict between non-unitarity, causality and energy conservation if the model is non-Markovian, as suggested by black hole dynamics [6]. This analysis, though inspired by black hole dynamics, was performed for generic non-unitary models without any specific reference to gravity and intended only to mimic a possible effective model for gravity at low energy.

It is remarkable that indications for a non-unitary evolution induced by gravity also emerge in the very context of non-relativistic QM, in which many tentative ad hoc modifications of the Schroedinger equation ("reduction models") have been proposed to account for both wave-function collapse and the emergence of classicality [7–9]. In fact in these models, in order to minimize energy pumping one is induced to couple the phenomenological stochastic external field mainly to the centre of mass, which strongly suggests its gravitational origin [10,11].

In view of a possible convergence of these two research lines (information loss paradox and reduction models), in some recent papers one of the authors proposed and analyzed a non-Markovian non-unitary model for Newtonian gravity [12,13]. It has been shown that this model can be derived from fourth-order gravity in which, while classical instability is cured at expense of unitarity, the nice one-loop ultraviolet behavior of HD gravity is preserved [14,15].

While for the non-Markovian models considered by Unruh and Wald the basic idea is to have the given system interacting with a "hidden system" with "no energy of its own and therefore... not... available as either a net source or a sink of energy" [6], in the present model energy conservation is granted by the "hidden system" being a copy of the physical system, coupled to it only by gravity, and constrained to be in its same state and then to have its same energy. The unitary dynamics and the states referred to the doubled operator algebra are what we call respectively meta-dynamics and meta-states, while, by tracing out the hidden degrees of freedom, we get the non-unitary dynamics of the physical states. Pure physical states correspond then to meta-states without entanglement between physical and hidden degrees of freedom.

In particular it has been shown that, while reproducing the classical aspects of the Newtonian interaction, the model gives rise to a threshold, which for ordinary condensed matter densities corresponds to $\sim 10^{11}$ proton masses, above which self-localized center of mass wave functions exist, in analogy to the Schroedinger-Newton model [16–19]. However, while the latter produces rather unphysical stationary localized states without spreading, according to the present model an initial localized pure state evolves in time into an unlocalized ensemble of localized states. That is consistent with the expectation that (self-)gravity may produce a growing entropy in a genuinely isolated system [20,15], as suggested by black hole dynamics.

It was also shown that the meta-dynamics can be reformulated in terms of a functional integral over two auxiliary scalar fields. If the resulting expression is applied to a physical state, obtained by tracing out the hidden degrees of freedom, one gets an expression which can be taken as an independent definition of the model, free from any reference to hidden degrees of freedom [13,15]. This expression was used both to show that the $N \to \infty$ limit of the N-hidden replicas generalization of the model reproduces the Schroedinger-Newton model and to analyze wave function reduction [21]. To be more specific, the superposition of a large number of widely spaced localized states, corresponding to the ground meta-state of the relative motion of a physical body of mass M and its hidden partner, was considered. It was shown that, within a random phase approximation and omitting the slow spreading of the localized states, it evolves into an ensemble of the mentioned localized states in a typical time $\tau_g = \hbar G^{-1} M^{-5/3} \varrho^{-1/3} \sim 10^{20} \left(M/m_p\right)^{-5/3}$ s (for ordinary matter density $\varrho \sim 10^{24} m_p/\,\mathrm{cm}^3$), where G and m_p respectively denote the gravitational constant and the proton mass.

The key hypothesis behind any model of emergent classicality is that for some reason (the surrounding environment or a fundamental noisy source) unlocalized macroscopic states get localized, i.e. that coherences for space points farther than some localization lengths vanish [22]. In such a way embarrassing quantum superpositions of distinct position states of 'macroscopic' bodies are avoided, thus recovering an essential element of reality of our classical realm of predictability: the elementary fact that bodies are observed to occupy quite definite positions in space. The aim of the present paper is to check that feature, which makes the model a viable localization model, without using very peculiar initial conditions or any approximation, and independently of the heuristic functional formulation. In order to make the problem numerically tractable we take a rotational invariant initial state of an isolated ball of ordinary matter density and a total mass just above the mass threshold, namely in the least favorable conditions to exhibit dynamical localization. The ensuing phenomenology may be also relevant in view of possible future experimental checks of fundamental (not environment induced) decoherence. In fact in the last few years much efforts have been done in order to get a quantitative control of the environmental decoherence [23] and to construct cat states involving growing masses. In this respect an improvement in the Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC) technology may be useful to test gravity-induced decoherence, considering the unprecedented scale of controlled quantum coherence achieved there.

II. THE MODEL.

We give here a concise definition of the model. Let $H[\psi^\dagger, \psi]$ be the non-relativistic Hamiltonian of a finite number of particle species, like electrons, nuclei, ions, atoms and/or molecules including also the halved gravitational interaction, where $\psi^\dagger, \psi$ denote the whole set $\psi_j^\dagger(x), \psi_j(x)$ of creation-annihilation operators, i.e. one couple per particle species and spin component. $H[\psi^\dagger, \psi]$ includes the usual electromagnetic interactions accounted for in atomic, molecular and condensed-matter physics. To incorporate that part of gravitational interactions responsible for non-unitarity, one has to introduce complementary creation-annihilation operators $\widetilde{\psi}_j^\dagger(x), \widetilde{\psi}_j(x)$ and the overall (meta-)Hamiltonian

$$H_G = H[\psi^\dagger, \psi] + H[\widetilde{\psi}^\dagger, \widetilde{\psi}] - \frac{G}{2} \sum_{j,k} m_j m_k \int dx dy \frac{\psi_j^\dagger(x)\psi_j(x)\widetilde{\psi}_k^\dagger(y)\widetilde{\psi}_k(y)}{|x-y|} \tag{1}$$

acting on the product $F_\psi \otimes F_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ of the Fock spaces of the ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ operators, where m_i is the mass of the i-th particle species and G is the gravitational constant. The $\widetilde{\psi}$ operators obey the same statistics as the corresponding operators ψ, while $[\psi, \widetilde{\psi}]_- = [\psi, \widetilde{\psi}^\dagger]_- = 0$.

The meta-particle state space S is the subspace of $F_\psi \otimes F_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ including the meta-states obtained from the vacuum $||0\rangle\rangle = |0\rangle_\psi \otimes |0\rangle_{\widetilde{\psi}}$ by applying operators built in terms of the products $\psi_j^\dagger(x)\widetilde{\psi}_j^\dagger(y)$ and symmetrical with respect to the interchange $\psi^\dagger \leftrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}^\dagger$, which, then, have the same number of ψ (physical) and $\widetilde{\psi}$ (hidden) meta-particles of each species. As for the observable algebra, since constrained meta-states cannot distinguish between physical and hidden operators, it is identified with the physical operator algebra. In view of this, expectation values can be evaluated by preliminarily tracing out the $\widetilde{\psi}$ operators. In particular, for instance, the most general meta-state corresponding to one particle states is represented by

$$||f\rangle\rangle = \int dx \int dy f(x,y) \psi_j^\dagger(x) \widetilde{\psi}_j^\dagger(y) \, |0\rangle \,, \quad f(x,y) = f(y,x). \tag{2}$$

This is a consistent definition since H_G generates a group of (unitary) endomorphisms of S.

III. DYNAMICAL LOCALIZATION: NUMERICAL RESULTS.

Consider now a uniform matter ball of mass M and radius R. Within the model the Schroedinger equation for the meta-state wave function $\Xi(X,Y,t)$ is given by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}(\nabla_X^2 + \nabla_Y^2) + V(|X-Y|) \right] \Xi \tag{3}$$

where X and Y respectively denote the position of the center of mass of the physical body and of its hidden partner, while V is the (halved) gravitational mutual potential energy of the two interpenetrating meta-bodies, which, as can be shown by an elementary calculation, is

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2} G M^2 \left(\frac{\theta(2R - r)(80R^3 r^2 - 30R^2 r^3 + r^5 - 192R^5)}{160R^6} - \frac{\theta(r - 2R)}{r} \right) \tag{4}$$

where θ denotes the Heaviside function. Observe that our final result can be immediately reread as the solution for the whole set of parameters obtained by the scaling:

$$t \to \lambda t, \qquad M \to \lambda^{-1/5} M, \qquad X \to \lambda^{3/5} X, \qquad R \to \lambda^{3/5} R$$

$$\Xi\left(X, Y; t\right) \to \Xi\left(\lambda^{-3/5} X, \lambda^{-3/5} Y; t\right) \tag{5}$$

where λ is a real positive dimensionless parameter. This is consistent with the expression for τ_g, the latter giving $\tau_g \longrightarrow \lambda \tau_g$. Besides, for consistency, we note that the mass cannot cross the threshold, as the latter scales with the same power law of the mass itself $M_t \longrightarrow \lambda^{-1/5} M_t$.

If we separate Eq. (3) into the equation for the relative motion and that for the center of mass, then for ordinary matter density $\varrho \sim 10^{24} m_p/\,\mathrm{cm}^3$ and M above the threshold $\sim 10^{11} m_p$, the former admits bound meta-states of width $\Lambda_G \sim (m_p/M)^{1/2} cm$, corresponding to small oscillations around the minimum of the gravitational potential. In particular an untangled localized meta-state, corresponding to a physical pure state is:

$$\Psi_{TOT} = \Psi_0(X)\Psi_0(Y) = \Psi_0(\left[X + Y\right]/2)\Psi_0(\left[X - Y\right]/2), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\Psi_0(\left[X - Y\right]/2) = \left(\Lambda_G^2 \pi\right)^{-3/4} \exp[-\left|X - Y\right|^2/(2\Lambda_G^2)]; \qquad \Lambda_G = (8\hbar^2 R^3/GM^3)^{1/4}$$

is proportional to the ground meta-state of the relative motion in the hypothesis $\Lambda_G \ll R$.

If $\Xi(X, Y) \equiv \psi(\left[X + Y\right]/2)\phi(X - Y)$, the equation for ϕ, due to the spherical symmetry, reduces to the radial equation for $\chi(r) \equiv \phi(|X - Y|)$. This equation has been solved numerically by the algorithm obtained from the space discretization over 10^4 points of the equation

$$\left[1+\frac{1}{2}iHdt/\hbar\right]u(r,t+dt)=\left[1-\frac{1}{2}iHdt/\hbar\right]u(r,t)+o(dt^2) \tag{7}$$

in the interval $(-R/2, R/2)$, where H denotes the radial Hamiltonian for $u(r,t) \equiv r\chi(r,t)$. Such a procedure assures the stability of the state-vector norm during the time evolution and is second-order accurate [24].

A uniform ball of mass $M = 0.38\times 10^{12} m_p$ and radius $R = 4.8\times 10^{-5}\,\mathrm{cm}$ was considered, with initial conditions like in Eq.(6), but for $\Lambda_G \sim 1.6\times 10^{-6}\,\mathrm{cm}$ replaced by $\Lambda = 5.6\Lambda_G$. The solution of Eq. (3) is then obtained as the product of ϕ and the analytical solution

$$\psi([X+Y]/2,t) \propto \exp\left[\frac{-\left|X+Y\right|^2/4}{\Lambda^2/2+i\hbar t/M}\right] \tag{8}$$

of the center of meta-mass equation.

The physical state ρ is evaluated by tracing out the hidden body

$$\rho(X;X^{'}) = \int dY \Xi(X,Y)\Xi^*(X',Y). \tag{9}$$

After an evolution time $t = 10\,\mathrm{s}$ ($\sim \tau_g$) the function $\widetilde{\varrho}\,(X_1, X_1') \equiv \rho(X_1,0,0;X_1^{'},0,0)$ can be represented as in Fig. (4). To compare with the free evolution, in which the dynamics gives the usual spreading, we have also shown the corresponding function in Fig.(3).

The final result can even be fitted by the product of two Gaussian functions:

$$\widetilde{\varrho}\,(X_1, X_1') = \exp[-(X_1+X_1^{'})^2/\Lambda_+^2]\exp[-(X_1-X_1^{'})^2/\Lambda_-^2] \tag{10}$$

where $\Lambda_+ = 0.27R \sim 1.3\times 10^{-5}\,\mathrm{cm}$ and $\Lambda_- = 1.8\times 10^{-2}R \sim 8.1\times 10^{-7}\,\mathrm{cm}$, while the free evolution, ignoring the gravitational (self-)interaction, would give the same product structure with $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_- \sim 1.3\times 10^{-5}\,\mathrm{cm}$ (see Figs.5 and 6). In spite of the fact that the fit has been performed by simple Gaussian functions, with the height and the size as independent parameters, the result turns out to be very accurate.

It should be remarked that the exact rotational invariance of the initial state, which rather artificially leads to a degenerate final state, does not play any special role. In fact, due to the unitarity in the enlarged Hilbert space, modifying slightly the initial state would result in a slight modification of the final metastate, and ultimately of the physical state. Nevertheless the choice of a pure initial state corresponds to a precise measurement, which represents in our case a rather ideal situation. On the other hand the simplicity of the state constraint renders even conceptually more precise such a measurement with respect to the case of a system interacting with a complex environment, where the state of the environment after the measurement is in general a complex functional of the system state, to a large extent uncontrollable. In any case the present calculation has to be considered only as a first step in the analysis of an intrinsic nonunitary model.

IV. ENTROPY ESTIMATION AND FINAL REMARKS.

The model may also be relevant to the quantum foundations of thermodynamics, without resorting to the subjective and vaguely defined notion of coarse graining, by the identification of both ordinary entropy and black hole entropy with von Neumann entropy, i.e. with the entanglement entropy with hidden degrees of freedom [15]. In fact a theory that is intrinsically nonunitary, i.e. within which entropy can vary with time even in closed systems, allows in principle a much more satisfactory derivation of the thermodynamical tendency to equilibrium, assuming with Boltzmann an appropriate choice of the initial conditions.

To estimate the final entropy production, consider that $\delta(X_2)\delta(X_3)\widetilde{\varrho}(X_1, X_1')\delta(X_2')\delta(X_3')$ represents the state resulting from a measurement on ρ, giving $X_2 = X_3 = 0$, by which one means that the uncertainties are smaller than the typical scale of variation of ρ. The entropy of $\widetilde{\varrho}$ then gives a lower bound for the entropy of ρ. To estimate the entropy $S\left[\widetilde{\varrho}\right]$ of $\widetilde{\varrho}$, we evaluate its purity

$$Tr\widetilde{\varrho}^2 = \int dX_1 dX_1' \widetilde{\varrho}\left(X_1, X_1'\right) \widetilde{\varrho}\left(X_1', X_1\right), \tag{11}$$

which, for $\widetilde{\varrho}$ replaced by its analytical fit (Eq. 10), gives $Tr\widetilde{\varrho}^2 = \Lambda_-/\Lambda_+ \sim 6. \times 10^{-2}$. If, for simplicity, we consider the corresponding ensemble as one of N equiprobable states, then

$$Tr\widetilde{\varrho}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N^2} = \frac{1}{N} \Rightarrow N \sim 17;\ S\left[\widetilde{\varrho}\right] \sim K_B \log 17. \tag{12}$$

If we approximate ρ as the direct product of three equivalent $\widetilde{\varrho}$, namely we omit the entanglement between the three Cartesian coordinates, which is absent in the initial pure state and would stay so if the potential in Eq. (4) were replaced by its quadratic approximation, then the total entropy is $S\left[\rho\right] = 3S\left[\widetilde{\varrho}\right]$, corresponding to N^3 equiprobable states.

Independently of any approximation $\rho(X; X^{'})$, as the kernel of a compact positive semidefinite Hermitian operator of unit trace [25], can be diagonalized as

$$\rho(X; X^{'}) = \sum_j p_j \psi_j(X) \psi_j^*(X');\ p_j \geq 0;\ \sum_j p_j = 1;\ \langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}, \tag{13}$$

where the above approximate estimate makes us expect that $-\sum_j p_j \log p_j \sim 3 \log 17$. This result has to be compared with a value $\sim 3 \log\left[\Lambda_+/\Lambda_G\right] \sim 3 \log 8$ corresponding to a naive counting where the orthogonal states ψ_j above are assumed localized and approximately non overlapping. This small discrepancy is not surprising, as these states are expected to include contributions from several low lying bound meta-states, so that they are orthogonal in spite of the overlapping of their probability densities, due to their space oscillations.

The qualitative agreement between our two estimates of entropy, the one corresponding to the computed density matrix and the other corresponding to the approximation of the mixed state by means of an ensemble of equiprobable localized states which occupy the volume roughly occupied by the density $\rho(X; X)$, makes it natural to assume that the states ψ_j diagonalizing ρ are localized. To be more precise, this would be strictly true, without ambiguities, after breaking the exact rotational invariance, which, as mentioned, is expected to introduce artificial degeneracies in the density operator.

The present result strengthens our confidence in the most relevant peculiarities of the localization phenomenology ensuing from the model, which make it *in principle* distinguishable both from the other proposed models [7,8] and possibly from the competing action of the environment-induced decoherence [22]. In particular the model presents a sharp threshold, below which localization is practically absent, and a localization time $\tau_g \propto M^{-5/3}$

rapidly decreasing, as the mass is increased. Furthermore the threshold mass $M_t \propto \rho^{1/10}$ is remarkably robust with respect to mass density variations.

Acknowledgments - Financial support from M.U.R.S.T., Italy and I.N.F.M., I.N.F.N. Salerno is acknowledged.

---

[1] Hawking S W 1976 *Phys. Rev. D* **14** 2460

[2] Bekenstein J D 1973 *Phys. Rev.* **D7** 2333; 1974 **D9** 3292

[3] Hawking S W 1975 *Comm. Math. Phys.* **43** 199

[4] Ellis J, Hagelin J S, Nanopoulos D V, Srednicki M 1984 *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** 381

[5] Banks T, Susskind L, Peskin M E 1984 *Nucl. Phys.* **B244** 125

[6] Unruh W G, Wald R M 1995 *Phys. Rev. D* **52** 2176

[7] Bassi A, Ghirardi G C, Dynamical Reduction Models LANL arXiv:quant-ph/0302164, references therein

[8] Pearle P 1999 *Collapse models* LANL arXiv:quant-ph/9901077

[9] Diosi L 1989 *Phys. Rev. A* **40** 1165, References therein

[10] Pearle P and Squires E 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 1

[11] Pearle P, Ring J, Collar I J and Frank T 1999 *Found. Phys.* **29** 465

[12] De Filippo S 2001 LANL arXiv:quant-ph/0104052

[13] De Filippo S 2001 LANL arXiv:gr-qc/0105021

[14] De Filippo S 2002 LANL arXiv:gr-qc/0205112

[15] De Filippo S, Maimone F 2002 *Phys. Rev.D* **66** 044018

[16] Christian J 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **56** 4844

[17] Penrose R 1998 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* 356

[18] Moroz I M, Penrose R and Tod K P 1998 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15** 2733

[19] Tod K P and Moroz I M 1999 *Nonlinearity* **12** 201

[20] De Filippo S 2001 LANL arXiv:gr-qc/0105013

[21] De Filippo S 2001 LANL arXiv:gr-qc/0106057

[22] Paz J P, Zurek W H 2000 LANL quant-ph/0010011, references therein

[23] Zeilinger A et all 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 160401

[24] Press H W et all 1992 *Numerical recipes in C,* http://www.ulib.org/webRoot/Books/Numerical_Recipes/bookcpdf.html (see end of Section 19.2)

[25] von Neumann J., *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics* 19955 Princeton University Press (Princeton, NJ)

Captions :

Fig. 1. Initial radial wave function $\chi(r')$, with $r' \equiv r/R$, for the internal state of the two meta-bodies.

Fig. 2. Radial wave function $\chi(r')$, with $r' \equiv r/R$, for the internal state of the two meta-bodies at the final simulation time $t = 10\,\mathrm{s}$.

Fig. 3. The modulus of the reduced density matrix $|\rho(X, X')|$ in the absence of gravity is shown in the plane X_1, X_1' with $X_2 = X_2' = X_3 = X_3' = 0$, at the evolution time $t = 10$ s.

Fig. 4. Modulus of the reduced density matrix $|\rho(X, X')|$ with the inclusion of gravity in the plane X_1, X_1' with $X_2 = X_2' = X_3 = X_3' = 0$ at the evolution time $t = 10$ s.

Fig. 5. A longitudinal Gaussian profile corresponding to the function $|\widetilde{\rho}(X, X)|$ has been superimposed to the array of points obtained from the numerical simulation. Lengths X on

the x-axis are measured in units of the radius R.

Fig. 6. Gaussian transverse cross-sections corresponding to the function $|\widetilde{\rho}\,(X, -X + k * 0.08R)|$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ have been superimposed to the arrays of points obtained from the numerical simulation. The dashed line represents the longitudinal cross section. Lengths X on the x-axis are measured in units of $10^{-2}R$.